

ORIGINAL
(ReC)

MEETING SUMMARY
SAND, GRAVEL AND STONE SITE
ELKTON, CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND
SEPTEMBER 5, 1985

A public meeting was held at 7:00 p.m. on September 5, 1985, at Elkton High School, in Elkton, Maryland. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss with interested parties the results of the remedial investigation (RI) and the feasibility study (FS) conducted by the EPA at the Sand, Gravel and Stone Site and to request comments from the public concerning the FS and the EPA's preferred alternative.

There were approximately 30 individuals in the audience including representatives from the local radio station and newspaper, the Cecil County Sheriff's Department, various state agencies, contractors who have been involved in site work, the EPA, some potentially responsible parties (PRP), and interested citizens.

Boyd Grove of the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene opened the meeting by introducing himself and explaining the purpose of the meeting. He stated that any verbal or written questions after the meeting could be communicated to him at his office in Baltimore. Mr. Grove then introduced Ann Cardinal, who is Community Relations Coordinator for the EPA Region III office in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Ms. Cardinal explained the concept of the RI and FS and briefly reviewed the fact sheets that were made available to everyone at the meeting. She then explained that after the public meeting, interested parties had until September 20 to comment on the FS before the EPA issued its Record of Decision (ROD), which states the preferred alternative for cleanup of the Sand, Gravel and Stone Site. She went on to explain that after the ROD is issued, the EPA begins a remedial design phase, which studies the methods of implementing the preferred alternative.

Ms. Cardinal then turned the meeting over to Roy Schrock, who is the Region III EPA project manager for the site. Mr. Schrock explained the roles played by the various contractors and agencies working with the EPA on this project, including NUS, AEPCO, and the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.

Mr. Schrock's presentation was divided into two parts corresponding to the RI and the FS reports. First he explained the history of the EPA's investigation, the RI, and the current extent of the EPA's knowledge about the site. This included a description of the contaminants found in the surface waters, the soils, and the shallow groundwater aquifer on site. He emphasized the point that the RI thus far had not investigated the deeper aquifers for contamination, and that this investigation, referred to as the Phase II RI, would need to be conducted at a later time.

He then explained that contamination was found in only one offsite well and that the contaminant, which is not considered by the EPA to be a serious health threat, was found at barely detectable levels (5 to 7 parts per billion). Though the

10023